



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/700,971 | 11/04/2003 | Muthiah Manoharan | CHEM0005US.P1 | 4943 |
| 88395 | 7590 | 04/19/2010 | EXAMINER | |
| Woodcock Washburn LLP | | | MCGARRY, SEAN | |
| Cira Centre, 12th Floor | | | | |
| 2929 Arch Street | | | ART UNIT | |
| Philadelphia, PA 19104 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1635 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | |
| | | | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/19/2010 | |
| | | | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/700,971 | Applicant(s) MANOHARAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Sean R. McGarry | Art Unit 1635 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-6,9,21,24,25,36,37 and 101 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-6,9,24,25,36,37 and 101 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18/2010</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This Official Action is in response to the papers filed 1/25/10. The amendments to the claims requires the new rejection below.

The priority granted for the instant claims is 7/09/03 which is based on priority application 10/616,241. No clear support could be found in the other priority documents for the new limitations requiring a steroid conjugated to the 3' end of the antisense strand and the 5' end of the sense strand of an siRNA compound. If applicant believes that they are entitled to an earlier priority date applicant should point to such support in the priority documents with particularity.

Applicants arguments presented 1/25/10 are moot in view of the new rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6, 9, 21, 24, 25, 36, 37 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuschl et al [US 2004/0259247], Woolf et al [US20040054155], Schwarz et al[Molecular Cell, Vol.10:537-548,9/2002] et al and Manoharan et al[Monoharan, M. Antisense Drug Technology, Principles, Strategies, and Applications, Crooke, S. T. ed., Marcel Dekker, New York 2001, Chapter16, pages 391-467, cited by applicant].

The claimed invention is as clearly set forth in the claims.

Tuschl et al have taught the use of siRNA molecules for the inhibition of a desired target nucleic acid. It has been taught that the preferred length of these double stranded RNAs is 19-25 nucleotides. It has been taught at paragraph 15 and 179-181 what positions of an siRNA molecule are important for function and what areas are modifiable such as 5' and 3' ends. It has been taught to use siRNA in cell culture to determine gene function, for example (see paragraphs 28 and 29, for example). Tuschl et al have taught at paragraphs 28-33 that carrier mediated delivery is an option for siRNA introduction into cells(see paragraph 33, for example). Tuschl et al do not specifically teach conjugate moieties of cholesterol.

Manoharan has taught the use of Lipidic nucleic acids where it has been taught the use of moieties such as cholesterol linked to terminal ends, backbones or bases of antisense oligonucleotides where it is asserted these provide for more efficient antisense administration to cells. It has been taught that attachment at the 2' position of an oligonucleotide should minimize interference with hybridization. Monoharen et al has shown that it was well established at the time of invention to utilize cholesterol as a

Art Unit: 1635

means to mediate cellular delivery of oligonucleotides. It has been taught by Monoharen et al that cholesterol can be attached to the 3' end or 5' end of an oligonucleotide.

Woolf et al have taught siRNA compounds and further teach that cholesterol moieties as well as many other moities can be attached to siRNA compounds for enhanced uptake into cells in vitro and in vivo. Woolf et al teach for example:

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0144] Conjugating agents bind to the oligonucleotide in a covalent manner. In one embodiment, oligonucleotides can be derivitized or chemically modified by binding to a conjugating agent to facilitate cellular uptake. For example, covalent linkage of a cholesterol moiety to an oligonucleotide can improve cellular uptake by 5- to 10-fold which in turn improves DNA binding by about 10-fold (Boutorin et al., 1989, FEBS Letters 254:129-132). Conjugation of octyl, dodecyl, and octadecyl residues enhances cellular uptake by 3-, 4-, and 10-fold as compared to unmodified oligonucleotides (Vlassov et al., 1994, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1197:95-108). Similarly, derivatization of oligonucleotides with poly-L-lysine can aid oligonucleotide uptake by cells (Schell, 1974, Biochem. Biophys. Acta 340:323, and Lemaitre et al., 1987, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:648).

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0145] Certain protein carriers can also facilitate cellular uptake of oligonucleotides, including, for example, serum albumin, nuclear proteins possessing signals for transport to the nucleus, and viral or bacterial proteins capable of cell membrane penetration.

Art Unit: 1635

Therefore, protein carriers are useful when associated with or linked to the oligonucleotides. Accordingly, the present invention provides for derivatization of oligonucleotides with groups capable of facilitating cellular uptake, including hydrocarbons and non-polar groups, cholesterol, long chain alcohols (i.e., hexanol), poly-L-lysine and proteins, as well as other aryl or steroid groups and polycations having analogous beneficial effects, such as phenyl or naphthyl groups, quinoline, anthracene or phenanthracene groups, fatty acids, fatty alcohols and sesquiterpenes, diterpenes and steroids. A major advantage of using conjugating agents is to increase the initial membrane interaction that leads to a greater cellular accumulation of oligonucleotides.

Schwarz et al have taught that the 3' end of an antisense strand of a siRNA compound can be blocked and still provide adequate inhibition of its target. Schwarz also teach the importance of not blocking the 5' end of the antisense strand of a siRNA.

One in the art would clearly combine the teachings of Tuschl, Woolf et al, and Manoharan et al to make the instant invention since Tuschl has taught the use of siRNA in cells. Manoharan provide a teaching of how to make and use lipidic moieties in oligonucleotides for enhanced cellular delivery and Tuschl et al have taught locations where siRNAs can be modified, where Schwarz et al have shown that the 3' end of the antisense strand can be modified while the 5' end of the antisense strand is preferably not. Woolf et al have taught that one in the art can apply cholesterol moieties to an siRNA compound to facilitate delivery to cells. The prior art teaches that the use of such conjugates was known in the art to enhance delivery of nucleic acid into cells, for

Art Unit: 1635

example. The determination of which strand or both strands to add substituents would clearly have been a matter of optimization as the general use of substituents as claimed was established in the art at the time of invention. Tuschl and Schwarz et al have provided a clear basis for the locations of modification in a siRNA. The claimed invention appears to amount to the use of a known oligonucleotide delivery method [cholesterol conjugation] and a known oligonucleotide that would be delivered to cells[siRNA]. It is noted that applicant has no working examples of the invention as claimed, and the prior art provides substantially the same level of teaching as the instant specification, where both the prior art [Woolf et al] and the instant specification teach that any of a multitude of moieties can be attached to siRNA compounds for enhanced delivery and targeting.

The invention as a whole would therefore have been *prima facie* obvious to one in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1635

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R. McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fereydoun Sajjadi can be reached on (571) 272-3311. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean R McGarry
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1635

/Sean R McGarry/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635